Search all BMJ Products



Search bmj.com

Advanced search

Published 1 June 2009, doi:10.1136/bmj.b2191 Cite this as: BMJ 2009;338:b2191

Letters

Rules of conscience

Betray ethics, betray trust

The harm caused by the interrogation methods described by Pope and Gutheil goes far beyond the considerable damage, sometimes fatal, of methods commonly understood to be torture. When psychologists or doctors design and help to inflict such methods, they engage in three betrayals. They betray the trust and human rights of those who are tortured. They betray fundamental professional ethics. And they betray the trust society places in such professionals.

The harm caused by acts that are physically, sexually, or psychologically damaging—for example, child abuse—is greater when a trusted figure is involved. The Orwellian transformation of trusted professionals into those who use their training and skills to design and help inflict methods whose reality is masked by euphemisms such as "harsh" or "extreme" can interfere with the ability to reason realistically.

The trauma that occurs when professionals betray individuals, fundamental ethics, and society affects us all.

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2009;338:b2191

Jennifer J Freyd, professor1

¹ University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403-1227, USA

jjf@uoregon.edu

Competing interests: None declared.

References

- 1. Pope KS, Gutheil TG. Contrasting ethical policies of physicians and psychologists concerning interrogation of detainees. *BMJ* 2009;38:b1653. (30 April.)
- 2. Miles SH. Oath betrayed: America's torture doctors. 2nd ed. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 2009.
- 3. Gallagher HG. By trust betrayed: patients, physicians, and the license to kill in the Third Reich. New York: Henry Holt, 1990.
- 4. Freyd JJ, Klest B, Allard CB. Betrayal trauma: relationship to physical health, psychological distress, and a written disclosure intervention. *Journal of Trauma and Dissociation* 2005;6:83-104.[CrossRef]
- Zurbriggen EL. Lies in a time of threat: betrayal blindness and the 2004 US Presidential Election. Analyses of Social Issues and Public Policy 2005;5:189-96.[CrossRef]



Contact us - Privacy policy - Web site terms & conditions - Revenue sources - Site map HighWire Press - Feedback - Help - © 2009 BMJ Publishing Group Ltd.

1 of 1 6/2/2009 5:18 AM