



Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal

Out-of-body experience: Sex-based harassment linked to general dissociation, sexual dissociation, and sexual communication

Alexis A. Adams-Clark, Marina N. Rosenthal, Jennifer J. Freyd,

Article information:

To cite this document:

Alexis A. Adams-Clark, Marina N. Rosenthal, Jennifer J. Freyd, (2019) "Out-of-body experience: Sex-based harassment linked to general dissociation, sexual dissociation, and sexual communication", Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal, https://doi.org/10.1108/EDI-11-2018-0211
Permanent link to this document:

https://doi.org/10.1108/EDI-11-2018-0211

Downloaded on: 10 June 2019, At: 11:44 (PT)

References: this document contains references to 64 other documents.

To copy this document: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

Access to this document was granted through an Emerald subscription provided by

Token: Eprints: VA7S2KEZDA2YCBHQ6GTV:

For Authors

If you would like to write for this, or any other Emerald publication, then please use our Emerald for Authors service information about how to choose which publication to write for and submission guidelines are available for all. Please visit www.emeraldinsight.com/authors for more information.

About Emerald www.emeraldinsight.com

Emerald is a global publisher linking research and practice to the benefit of society. The company manages a portfolio of more than 290 journals and over 2,350 books and book series volumes, as well as providing an extensive range of online products and additional customer resources and services.

Emerald is both COUNTER 4 and TRANSFER compliant. The organization is a partner of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and also works with Portico and the LOCKSS initiative for digital archive preservation.

*Related content and download information correct at time of download.

Out-of-body experience

Out-of-body experience

Sex-based harassment linked to general dissociation, sexual dissociation, and sexual communication

Alexis A. Adams-Clark, Marina N. Rosenthal and Jennifer J. Freyd Department of Psychology, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon, USA

Received 12 November 2018 Revised 16 March 2019 27 April 2019 29 April 2019 Accepted 29 April 2019

Abstract

Purpose – Although prior research has indicated that posttraumatic stress symptoms may result from sex-based harassment, limited research has targeted a key posttraumatic outcome – dissociation. Dissociation has been linked to experiences of betrayal trauma and institutional betrayal; sex-based harassment is very often a significant betrayal creating a bind for the target. The purpose of this paper is to extend existing research by investigating the relationship between sex-based harassment, general dissociation, sexual dissociation and sexual communication.

Design/methodology/approach – This exploratory study utilized self-report measures from a sample of male and female Oregon residents using Amazon Mechanical Turk (N = 582).

Findings – Results of regression analyses indicated that harassment statistically predicted higher general dissociation, higher sexual dissociation and less effective sexual communication, even after controlling for prior sexual trauma experiences. Results did not indicate any significant interactions between gender and harassment.

Practical implications – When considering the effects of sex-based harassment on women and men, clinicians and institutional organizations should consider the role of dissociation as a possible coping mechanism for harassment.

Originality/value — These correlational findings provide evidence that sex-based harassment is uniquely associated with multiple negative psychological outcomes in men and women.

Keywords Harassment, Dissociation, Sexual communication, Sexual trauma

Paper type Research paper

"It was like an out-of-body experience [...] [...] I pretended it hadn't really happened [...] I kept moving because it was part of my job, and I knew he was, at the time, a very important guy, and certainly important to me. I trusted him." -Jessica Teich, describing Richard Dreyfus (Yuan, 2017)

In 2006, Tarana Burke, a civil rights activist from the Bronx, began using the phrase "Me Too" to raise awareness for sexual violence. The use of the #MeToo hashtag exploded online on October 15, 2017, when actress Alyssa Milano tweeted: "If all the women who have been sexually harassed or assaulted wrote 'Me too' as a status, we might give people a sense of the magnitude of the problem." By the next day, 4.7m people used the hashtag in 12m posts on Facebook, sharing personal accounts of sexual violence (Khomami, 2018). Sexual violence and sex-based harassment, topics that are often stigmatized and hushed, were suddenly thrust into popular discourse.

Sex-based harassment

Although the recent #MeToo movement raised public awareness of sexual violence, scholars have conducted research on sex-based harassment for decades. The term "sex-based harassment" is more comprehensive than the term "sexual assault," which tends to focus solely on criminal sexual behavior (Cook *et al.*, 2018). Sexual violence researchers generally consider sex-based harassment to include three types of discriminatory conduct: sexual coercion,



Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal © Emerald Publishing Limited 2040-7149 DOI 10.1108/EDI-11-2018-0211 unwanted sexual attention and gender harassment (Cook *et al.*, 2018). Sexual coercion, also termed "quid pro quo" harassment, involves a person in power demanding sexual favors through threats of professional punishment/retaliation or in exchange for a professional advantage. Unwanted sexual attention consists of unwanted and pervasive romantic or sexual advances. Gender harassment, the most common type of sex-based harassment (Leskinen *et al.*, 2011), involves pejorative remarks or behaviors that belittle another person based on gender (Cook *et al.*, 2018).

These three types have been conceptualized in an "iceberg" model of sex-based harassment, in which the majority of harassment goes unnoticed and unaddressed (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2018). At the tip of the iceberg sits the most apparent forms of sex-based harassment, including sexual coercion and forms of unwanted sexual attention. Submerged under the water, away from public consciousness, lie less noticeable forms of unwanted sexual attention and gender harassment, including gender slurs, sexist comments and obscene gestures.

Prevalence and outcomes of sex-based harassment

While many consider sex-based harassment to be an infrequent, mild form of sexual violence, research suggests otherwise. Fitzgerald (1993) estimated that approximately 50 percent of women experience sex-based harassment in the workplace. A second study found that 63 percent of female university employees and 68 percent of female private-sector employees experience harassment (Schneider *et al.*, 1997). Other studies have found higher rates of sex-based harassment for women in male-dominated environments (Fitzgerald *et al.*, 1997; Street *et al.*, 2007). Ilies *et al.* (2003) found that 24 percent of women considered themselves victims of sex-based harassment, and 58 percent of women responded affirmatively to at least one behaviorally-specific harassment situation. Estimates that consistently indicate harassment is pervasive have led Fitzgerald (2017) to label sexual harassment as "still the last great open secret" (p. 483). Although men do experience sex-based harassment (Waldo *et al.*, 1998), rates for men tend to be lower than for women (Rosenthal *et al.*, 2016).

Sex-based harassment in the workplace predicts multiple negative outcomes among women, including psychological distress, physical health outcomes, job absenteeism and job turnover (e.g. Fitzgerald, 1993; Fitzgerald *et al.*, 1997; Schneider *et al.*, 1997). Similar outcomes have been found for men (Holland *et al.*, 2016). The effects of sex-based harassment may be more intense for repeated, "unseen" incidences of gender harassment than for less frequent, albeit more visible, incidences of sexual coercion (Sojo *et al.*, 2016; Langhout *et al.*, 2005). Vicarious observation of harassment at work has also been linked to workplace withdrawal and decreased well-being (Miner-Rubino and Cortina, 2007). Other studies have tied workplace harassment experiences to disordered eating (Harned, 2000), depressive and anxious symptoms (Reed *et al.*, 2016) and alcohol abuse (Rospenda *et al.*, 2008).

Research has also found that workplace sex-based harassment can have effects typical of trauma exposure. One study found that harassment predicts severity of posttraumatic stress symptoms, including re-experiencing, hyperarousal, sleep problems and avoidance (Palmieri and Fitzgerald, 2005). This relationship persists even after controlling for prior trauma (Stockdale *et al.*, 2009; McDermut *et al.*, 2000).

Studies of harassment in educational institutions have found similar results. Harassment has been linked to students' perceptions of unfairness in the classroom (Cortina *et al.*, 1998), low academic confidence (Cortina *et al.*, 1998), low academic satisfaction (Huerta *et al.*, 2006), disengagement from academics (Huerta *et al.*, 2006) and avoidance of classes or professors (Fitzgerald *et al.*, 1988). Rosenthal *et al.* (2016) found that sex-based harassment in a graduate school sample was associated with posttraumatic stress symptoms, even after accounting for other victimization.

Unexplored associations of harassment

As it stands, further investigation is needed into outcomes associated with sex-based harassment. Although studies have looked at posttraumatic stress symptoms that result from harassment, few studies have targeted a key posttraumatic outcome – dissociation. Dissociation is characterized by a disconnection or disintegration among one's consciousness, memory and the external environment (DePrince and Freyd, 2007; Zurbriggen and Freyd, 2004). Dissociative symptoms can range from a mild instance of "highway hypnosis" to an "out-of-body" experience. In other cases, they may manifest as significant memory lapses or severe identity confusion. Dissociation is one of the most well-studied posttraumatic symptoms in the field of trauma; survivors of trauma report elevated levels of dissociation not only during the trauma itself (Lensvelt-Mulders *et al.*, 2008), but also increased dissociative tendencies (Bremner and Brett, 1997; Chu and Dill, 1990) and difficulties with emotional awareness (Polusny *et al.*, 2008) that extend beyond the trauma.

Although often lumped with other posttraumatic stress symptoms, dissociation is of particular interest to examine with regard to sex-based harassment. The relationship between dissociation and interpersonal trauma has a strong theoretical foundation in betrayal trauma theory (Freyd, 1994, 1996). Betrayal trauma theory posits that dissociation serves as an adaptive mechanism to cope and preserve necessary relationships on which the survivor might depend (Freyd, 1996; Freyd and Birrell, 2013). In line with this theory, research has demonstrated that survivors of abuse perpetrated by a close and trusted other report increased dissociation and memory impairments (DePrince and Freyd, 2007; Freyd et al., 2005; Goldsmith et al., 2012).

Experiences of betrayal by a trusted institution, termed institutional betrayal, have also been linked to increased dissociation, even when controlling for prior experiences of trauma (Smith and Freyd, 2017). Institutional betrayal occurs when a trusted institution fails to adequately address – or is actively complicit in – the mistreatment of one of its members (Smith and Freyd, 2014). A workplace may commit institutional betrayal by failing to investigate a worker's report of sexual assault or actively covering up the assault. Like institutional betrayal, sex-based harassment may not involve any physical contact, but is often perpetrated by others in authority and power positions. Thus, dissociation may be important to assess when considering the outcomes of sex-based harassment. Similar to those experiencing interpersonal and institutional betrayal, those experiencing harassment may develop dissociative tendencies to maintain relationships that preserve their sense of security in society or the workplace. Only one study to our knowledge has explored the relationship between dissociation and any type of sexual harassment. This study found that dissociation was significantly associated with childhood sexual harassment in a sample of 287 psychiatric outpatients in Germany and Switzerland (Mueller-Pfeiffer *et al.*, 2013).

In addition, no study to our knowledge has assessed the associations of sex-based harassment on sexual outcomes. Multiple studies have documented the relationship between sexual trauma and sexual satisfaction and sexual functioning (O'Driscoll and Flanagan, 2016; Stephenson *et al.*, 2012). In this study, however, we focus on the association between harassment, sexual dissociation and sexual communication. Sexual dissociation is a specific manifestation of a general dissociative tendency; it can be defined as "someone engaging in sexual activity without attending to her or his own feelings of fear, pleasure, or safety" (Zurbriggen and Freyd, 2004, p. 149). Although few studies exist looking at the relationship between sexual dissociation and trauma, Hansen *et al.* (2012) found that child sexual abuse among a sample of 57 adults with HIV predicted increased rates of dissociation during sexual activity. Similarly, Rosenthal and Freyd (2017) found that childhood betrayal trauma predicted diminished sexual communication, when accounting for trait dissociation in general and dissociation during sex specifically. The authors conclude that these experiences of trauma appear "to initiate a trajectory wherein survivors' trauma symptoms

inhibit their capacity to communicate clearly with sexual partners" (Rosenthal and Freyd, 2017, p. 14). Sexual dissociation and sexual communication may be relevant to examine with regard to sex-based harassment because harassment – even harassment that is not overtly sexual – can reflect sexist stereotypes that may have implications for sexual behavior. If someone is experiencing harassment, and this mistreatment is not addressed, these feelings may be internalized, specifically during sex. Victims may be less able to attend to their sexual needs or consider their needs important, and then, in turn, be less able express their needs to their partner (Zurbriggen and Freyd, 2004).

The current study

In the present study, we examined sex-based harassment and its association with general dissociation, sexual dissociation and sexual communication. The aims of the study included:

- to explore the relationship of sex-based harassment with general dissociative tendencies, sexual dissociation and sexual communication;
- (2) to assess if any significant relationships between sex-based harassment and the three outcomes remain, even after controlling for other prior sexual trauma experiences; and
- (3) to assess gender differences in the relationship between sex-based harassment and general dissociative tendencies, sexual dissociation and sexual communication.

Method

Participants

Data were collected from Oregon residents ages 18–35 through Amazon Mechanical Turk (MTurk; N = 668) as part of a larger study. MTurk is a resource offered through Amazon. com where users complete tasks or surveys online for monetary compensation. MTurk is a commonly used sampling method for social science research and has been found to be more demographically diverse than university convenience samples (Buhrmester *et al.*, 2011). In this study, participants who failed attention-check questions (n = 18), completed less than < 50 percent of the survey items (n = 38), or who did not live in Oregon (n = 4) were removed for purposes of analysis. Although participants could choose options other than male or female for their gender, those who identified as other/transgender (n = 26) could not be included in the analyses because of low power. The sample used for analysis (n = 582) was 56.5 percent female and 43.5 percent male. The mean age of participants was 27.4 (SD = 4.7). The majority of the sample was heterosexual (82.1 percent) and White (90.0 percent). Additional demographic characteristics are presented in Table I.

Measures

The measures used in this study were collected from a multi-component study targeting young adult residents of Oregon. The original study included both self-report measures and an experimental manipulation examining the effects of viewing college sports videos (Adams-Clark *et al.*, in preparation). This current report analyzes self-report measures of trauma exposure, sex-based harassment victimization, general dissociative tendencies, sexual dissociative tendencies and sexual communication, all of which preceded the experimental manipulation.

Prior sexual trauma. Prior sexual trauma history was measured using four items from the Brief Betrayal Trauma Survey (BBTS; Goldberg and Freyd, 2006). Prior research has indicated that the BBTS is a valid measure of trauma (DePrince, 2001) and demonstrates adequate reliability (Goldberg and Freyd, 2006). The four items included were: "You were made to have some form of sexual contact, such as touching or penetration, by someone

	n	% of sample	Out-of-body experience
What is your gender?			onperionee
Male	253	43.5	
Female	329	56.5	
What is your primary sexual orientation?			
Heterosexual	478	82.1	
Lesbian/Gay	23	4.0	
Bisexual	53	9.1	
Pansexual	18	3.1	
Asexual	6	1.0	
Other	4	0.7	
What is your race/ethnicity? ^a			
White/Caucasian	524	90.0	
Black/African American	21	3.6	
Asian/Pacific Islander	46	7.9	
Native American/Alaskan Native	13	2.2	
Latino/a, Chicano/a, Hispanic	19	3.3	
Other	7	1.2	
What is the highest level of education that you h	ave completed?		
Some high school	3	0.5	
High school	36	6.2	
Some college	227	39.0	
Associate's degree	77	13.2	
Bachelor's degree	171	29.4	
Some graduate school	19	3.3	Table I.
Master's degree	45	7.7	Demographic
Doctorate degree	2	0.3	information of sample
Other advanced degree	1	0.2	(N = 582), excluding
No answer	1	0.2	gender-nonconforming
Note: ^a Percentages do not add up to 100 becau	use more than one option could be selected		participants ($n = 26$)

with whom you were very close (such as a parent or lover) before the age of 18"; "You were made to have some form of sexual contact by someone with whom you were very close after the age of 18"; "You were made to have such sexual contact by someone with whom you were not close before the age of 18"; and "You were made to have such sexual contact by someone with whom you were not close before the age of 18." Participants indicated if the respective situation had occurred "Never," "One or two times," or "More than that." Consistent with prior research (Freyd *et al.*, 2005), each item was scored dichotomously; responses were coded as "0" if the event never happened and "1" if the event occurred at least once. These scores were then summed to create a continuous Prior Sexual Trauma score ranging from 0 to 4.

Sex-based harassment. Sex-based harassment was measured using a modified version of the shortened Sexual Experiences Questionnaire-DoD (SEQ-DoD-s; Stark et al., 2002). The SEQ-DoD-s originally consists of 16 items. Consistent with Rosenthal et al. (2016), three items were added to the scale to measure electronic harassment (e.g. someone "spread unwelcome sexual rumors about you by text, e-mail, Facebook, or other electronic means"). Each item asks participants to rate how frequently they have encountered a certain sexbased harassment situation in any context in their lifetime. Participants rated each item on a Likert-type scale that ranges from 0 to 4, where 0 corresponds to "Never," 1 corresponds to "Once or Twice," 2 corresponds to "Sometimes," 3 corresponds to "Often" and 4 corresponds to "Many Times." The first item of the questionnaire ("you have been treated differently

because of your sex") was eliminated in line with recent research (Rosenthal *et al.*, 2016). The 18 item ratings were summed and averaged to create an average sex-based harassment scale score, where higher scores represented more frequent sex-based harassment victimization. In this study, this scale demonstrated satisfactory reliability (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.95$). The distribution of scores for women (Skew = 0.90, SE = 0.14; Kurtosis = 0.63, SE = 0.27) and men (Skew = 1.18, SE = 0.16; Kurtosis = 0.73, SE = 0.31) were in the acceptable ranges (George and Mallery, 2010).

General dissociative tendencies. General dissociative tendencies were measured using the 40-item Wessex Dissociation Scale (WDS) (Kennedy et al., 2004). Each item was rated on a Likert-type scale that ranges from 0 to 5, where 0 corresponds to "Never," 1 corresponds to "Rarely," 2 corresponds to "Sometimes," 3 corresponds to "Often," 4 corresponds to "Very Often" and 5 corresponds to "All the Time." An example item from this scale is "I notice myself doing things that do not make sense." The 40-item ratings were summed and averaged to create an average WDS total score, where higher scores represent higher general dissociative tendencies. In this study, this scale demonstrated satisfactory reliability (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.96$). The distribution of scores for women (Skew = 1.03, SE = 0.14; Kurtosis = 0.87, SE = 0.28) and men (Skew = 0.93, SE = 0.16; Kurtosis = 0.65, SE = 0.32) were in the acceptable ranges.

Sexual dissociative tendencies. Sexual dissociation was measured using the six-item Sexual Dissociation Scale (SDS) (Rosenthal and Freyd, 2017). Each item was rated on a Likert-type scale that ranges from 1 to 5, where 1 corresponds to "Strongly Disagree," 2 corresponds to "Disagree," 3 corresponds to "Neither Agree nor Disagree," 4 corresponds to "Agree" and 5 corresponds to "Strongly Agree." An example item from this scale is "During sexual activity, I have felt as though I was watching myself from outside my body." The six-item ratings were summed and averaged to create an average SDS score, where higher scores represent higher sexual dissociative tendencies. In this study, this scale demonstrated satisfactory reliability (α = 0.86). The distribution of scores for women (Skew = 0.59, SE = 0.14; Kurtosis = -0.61, SE = 0.27) and men (Skew = 0.60, SE = 0.16; Kurtosis = -0.49, SE = 0.31) were in the acceptable ranges.

Sexual communication. Sexual communication was measured using the five-item Sexual Communication Scale (SCS) (Rosenthal and Freyd, 2017). Each item was rated on a Likert-type scale that ranges from 1 to 5, where 1 corresponds to "Strongly Disagree," 2 corresponds to "Disagree," 3 corresponds to "Neither Agree nor Disagree," 4 corresponds to "Agree" and 5 corresponds to "Strongly Agree." An example item from this scale is "If something doesn't feel good during sexual activity, I say so." The five-item ratings were summed and averaged to create an average SCS score, where higher scores represent more effective sexual communication. In this study, this scale demonstrated adequate reliability (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.78$). The distribution of scores for women (Skew = -0.27, SE = 0.14; Kurtosis = -0.68, SE = 0.27) and men (Skew = -0.06, SE = 0.15; Kurtosis = -0.61, SE = 0.31) were in the acceptable ranges.

Demographics questionnaire. Participants were asked to report their age, gender, race/ethnicity, highest education completed and sexual orientation.

Procedure

An online version of this study was created through Qualtrics survey software, and the survey link was distributed to participants through Amazon Mechanical Turk. Participants were informed of study procedures and content through an informed consent process. Participants were required to accurately respond to at least four out of the five attention-check items that were placed throughout the survey to ensure response quality (e.g. Rosenthal *et al.*, 2016; Rosenthal and Freyd, 2017). After completing the study, participants received \$2 in

compensation and were presented with debriefing materials, including contact information for sexual violence resources. All study procedures were approved by the institution's Office of Research Compliance (Institutional Review Board).

Results

Data preparation

Data were analyzed using R Version 3.5.2 (R Core Team, 2018) and R packages stats (Version 3.5.2; R Core Team, 2018) and tidyverse (Version 1.2.1; Wickham, 2017). A dummy-coded dichotomous variable was created to represent participant gender (0 = male and 1 = female). The data were assessed for "extreme" outliers, defined as $3.0 \times \text{interquartile}$ range above the third quartile or below the first quartile. There was one data point among women's dissociation scores that met this criterion, and it was removed before conducting analyses. Missing data were deleted pairwise for correlation and regression analyses. All continuous variables were centered for regression analyses.

Preliminary analyses

On the BBTS, 50.5 percent of women and 25.3 percent of men reported at least one incident of unwanted sexual contact. Eight women and 29 men endorsed none of the sex-based harassment items on the SEQ (rated all 18 items as 0). Women reported experiencing an average of 11.74 (SD=4.93) of the 18 sex-based harassment situations at least once (median = 12; mode = 17). Men endorsed an average of 7.24 (SD = 5.55) of the 18 sex-based harassment situations at least once (median = 7, mode = 0). Percentages of women and men endorsing each harassment item at least once are listed in Table II. χ^2 tests of independence were conducted on the frequencies of men and women reporting each item of sex-based harassment at least once. A Bonferroni correction was used to correct for the number of tests, adjusting the alpha level to 0.002. Results indicated that significantly more women experienced each type of harassment than men, with the exception of "spread unwelcome sexual rumors about you by text, e-mail, Facebook or other electronic means" and "called you gay or lesbian in a negative way by text, e-mail, Facebook or other electronic means" (see Table II).

Descriptive statistics for summed scale scores, stratified by gender, are presented in Table III. A series of *t*-tests were conducted, and male and female participants differed significantly in self-reported prior sexual trauma, sex-based harassment, sexual dissociation and sexual communication scores, but not in general dissociation scores.

Correlation analyses

Correlations were estimated using Pearson's r correlation coefficients. Sex-based harassment scores were positively correlated with prior sexual trauma, general dissociation and sexual dissociation scores, and negatively correlated with sexual communication scores, for both women and men, p < 0.001 (see Table III).

Regression analyses

In order to assess the predictive power of sex-based harassment, controlling for sexual trauma history, a linear regression model for each outcome variable (general dissociation, sexual dissociation and sexual communication) was conducted. The first step of each model contained the prior sexual trauma and gender predictors. In the second step, the sex-based harassment predictor was added, representing its predictive power above and beyond prior sexual trauma. In the third step, gender x prior sexual trauma and gender x sex-based harassment interaction terms were added to assess for gender differences (see Table IV). The first step of the general dissociation model was significant,

EDI		Women	Men	χ^2 -test of independence
	Rate how frequently you have been in a situation where someone	n (%)	n (%)	for $(df = 1)$
	1. Displayed, used, or distributed sexist or suggestive materials?			36.28***
	2. Made offensive sexist remarks?	296 (90.0%)	170 (67.2%)	46.36***
	3. Put you down or was condescending to you because of your sex?	287 (87.2%)	122 (48.2%)	103.38***
	4. Repeatedly told sexual stories or jokes that were offensive to you?	260 (79.0%)	138 (54.5%)	39.32***
	5. Made unwelcome attempts to draw you into a discussion of sexual matters?	249 (75.7%)	120 (47.4%)	48.78***
	6. Made offensive remarks about your appearance, body, or sexual activities?	282 (85.7%)	148 (58.5%)	55.81***
	7. Made gestures or used body language of a sexual nature which embarrassed or offended you?	267 (81.2%)	134 (53.0%)	52.88***
	Made unwanted attempts to establish a romantic sexual relationship with you despite your efforts to discourage it?	245 (74.5%)	116 (45.8%)	49.29***
	9. Continued to ask you for dates, drinks, dinner, etc., even though you said "No"?	232 (70.5%)	86 (34.0%)	76.34***
	10. Touched you in a way that made you feel uncomfortable?	245 (74.5%	116 (42.7%)	60.16***
	11. Made unwanted attempts to stroke, fondle, or kiss you?	225 (68.4%)	84 (33.2%)	70.46***
	12. Made you feel like you were being bribed with a reward to engage in sexual behavior?	143 (43.5%)	61 (24.1%)	22.96***
	13. Made you feel threatened with some sort of retaliation for not being sexually cooperative?	138 (41.9%)	44 (17.4%)	39.28***
	14. Treated you badly for refusing to have sex?	191 (58.1%)	84 (33.2%)	34.90***
	15. Implied better treatment if you were sexually cooperative?	165 (50.2%)	67 (26.5%)	33.15***
	16. Sent or posted unwelcome sexual comments, jokes or pictures		77 (30.4%)	22.71***
Table II. Percentage of	by text, e-mail, Facebook or other electronic means? 17. Spread unwelcome sexual rumors about you by text, e-mail, Facebook or other electronic means?	109 (33.1%)	65 (25.6%)	3.50
sex-based harassment items endorsed by women $(n = 329)$ and	18. Called you gay or lesbian in a negative way by text, e-mail, Facebook or other electronic means?	90 (27.4%)	82 (32.4%)	1.41

1 women (n = 329) and men (n = 253) 1+ time **Note:** ***p < 0.001

T-1.1. III	1.
Table III.	2.
Descriptive statistics	3.
and correlation	4.
matrices for sex-based	5.
harassment, general	Э.
dissociation, sexual	N
dissociation and	2
sexual communication	W
average scores	p

	Women (n = 328) M(SD)	Men (n = 253) M(SD)	t (for mean difference)	1	2	3	4	5
1. Prior sexual trauma	0.50 (1.00)	0.83 (1.05)	-3.81***	_	0.55***	0.19***	0.34***	-0.24***
2. Sex-based harassment	1.24 (0.83)	0.67 (0.64)	-9.26***	0.41***	_	0.50***	0.39***	-0.29***
General dissociation	1.15 (0.67)	1.18 (0.77)	0.56	0.30***	0.55***	-	0.47***	-0.27***
Sexual dissociation	2.11 (0.93)	1.91 (0.78)	-2.77**	0.34***	0.37***	0.53***	-	-0.53***
5. Sexual communication	3.49 (0.88)	3.71 (0.72)	3.29**	-0.28***	-0.21***	-0.30***	-0.47***	-

Notes: Descriptive statistics were calculated based on complete data for each measure: prior sexual trauma (321 women, 247 men), sexual harassment (323 women, 246 men), general dissociation (309 women, 234 men), sexual dissociation (320 vomen, 247 men) and sexual communication (318 women, 251 men). One outlier was also removed before analyses. Female participants are above diagonal and male participants below diagonal. **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001

F(2, 520) = 16.09, p < 0.001, $\Delta R^2 = 0.06$. The second step of the general dissociation model was also significant, F(1, 519) = 138.99, p < 0.001, $\Delta R^2 = 0.20$, such that higher harassment scores statistically predicted higher dissociation scores. The third step was also significant, F(2,517) = 9.12, p < 0.001, $\Delta R^2 = 0.08$. In this third step, only the gender x prior sexual trauma interaction was significant, b = -0.17, t(517) = -2.75, p < 0.01. The simple slope for

Step	Predictor	β	b	SE	t	ΔR^2	df	F	Out-of-body experience
	Dissociation								
1	Intercept	0.00	0.07	0.05	1.57	0.058	2, 520	16.09***	
	Prior sex trauma	0.24	0.17	0.03	5.62***				
	Gender	-0.08	-0.11	0.06	-1.78****	0.199	1, 519	138.99***	
2	Intercept	0.00	0.20	0.04	4.49***				
	Prior sex trauma	-0.02	-0.01	0.03	-0.40				
	Gender	-0.22	-0.32	0.06	-5.49***				
	Sex harassment	0.55	0.50	0.04	11.79***		0.545	o d Ostatuta	
3	Intercept	0.00	0.25	0.05	5.50***	0.084	2, 517	9.12***	
	Prior sex trauma	0.13	0.09	0.05	1.89****				
	Gender	-0.25	-0.36	0.06	-6.16***				
	Sex harassment	0.68	0.61	0.07	8.59***				
	Gender x prior sex trauma	-0.19	-0.17	0.06	-2.75**				
	Gender×sex harassment	-0.13	-0.14	0.09	-1.64				
	Sexual dissociation								
1	Intercept	0.00	-0.05	0.05	-0.99	0.122	2, 540	37.65***	
	Prior sex trauma	0.34	0.29	0.03	8.28***				
	Gender	0.05	0.08	0.07	1.18				
2	Intercept	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.50	0.060	1, 539	39.54***	
	Prior sex trauma	0.19	0.16	0.04	4.22***				
	Gender	-0.03	-0.05	0.07	-0.75				
	Sex harassment	0.30	0.33	0.05	6.29***	0.000	2, 537	0.03	
3	Intercept	0.00	0.03	0.06	0.55				
	Prior sex trauma	0.20	0.17	0.06	2.86**				
	Gender	-0.03	-0.06	0.07	-0.78				
	Sex harassment	0.31	0.34	0.09	3.80***				
	Gender×prior sex trauma	-0.01	-0.01	0.08	-0.10				
	Gender×sex harassment	-0.01	-0.02	0.11	-0.14				
	Sexual communication								
1	Intercept	0.00	0.08	0.05	1.48	0.081	2, 542	23.93***	
	Prior sex trauma	-0.26	-0.21	0.03	-6.15***				
	Gender	-0.09	-0.14	0.07	-2.05*				
2	Intercept	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.56	0.023	1, 541	14.08***	
	Prior sex trauma	-0.17	-0.13	0.04	-3.49***				
	Gender	-0.04	-0.06	0.07	-0.81				
	Sex harassment	-0.19	-0.19	0.05	-3.75***	0.002	2, 539	0.58	Table IV.
3	Intercept	0.00	0.04	0.06	0.74		•		Regression coefficients
	Prior sex trauma	-0.21	-0.17	0.06	-2.96**				predicting average
	Gender	-0.04	-0.07	0.07	-0.89				general dissociation, sexual dissociation
	Sex harassment	-0.13	-0.13	0.09	-1.49				sexual dissociation and sexual
	Gender x prior sex trauma	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.88				communication scores
	Gender × sex harassment	-0.08	-0.10	0.11	-0.97				(centered) for
	s: $n = 581$. One outlier was also								(centered) 101

men demonstrated a trend toward statistical significance, b = 0.09, SE = 0.05, t = 1.89, p = 0.06, and the simple slope for women was marginally significant, b = -0.08, SE = 0.04, t = -2.02, p = 0.05.

In the sexual dissociation model, the first step was significant, F(2, 540) = 37.65, p < 0.001, $\Delta R^2 = 0.12$. The second step was also significant, F(1, 539) = 39.54, p < 0.001, $\Delta R^2 = 0.06$, such that higher harassment scores statistically predicted higher sexual dissociation scores. The third step was not significant, F(2,537) = 0.03, p = 0.97, $\Delta R^2 < 0.001$, indicating no significant differences between women and men.

In the sexual communication model, the first step was significant, F(2, 542) = 23.93, p < 0.001, $\Delta R^2 = 0.08$. The second step was also significant, F(1, 541) = 14.08, p < 0.001, $\Delta R^2 = 0.02$, such that higher harassment scores statistically predicted lower sexual communication scores.

The third step was not significant, $\hat{F}(\hat{2}, 539) = 0.58$, p = 0.97, $\Delta R^2 = 0.002$, indicating no significant differences between women and men.

Discussion

The purpose of the present study was to investigate sex-based harassment and its unique association with general dissociation, sexual dissociation and sexual communication among men and women. General dissociation, sexual dissociation and sexual communication have been found in prior research to be related to multiple types of trauma, including sexual assault and childhood sexual abuse (e.g. Hansen *et al.*, 2012; Rosenthal and Freyd, 2017).

Results of this study supported several of our exploratory hypotheses. First, we replicated the finding that sex-based harassment experiences are common among women and men, but women reported significantly higher sex-based harassment scores than men. New to this study, we found that harassment experiences were positively related to general dissociation scores, positively related to sexual dissociation scores, and negatively related to sexual communication scores, even after controlling for experiences of unwanted sexual contact.

Although causal relationships cannot be established, these results indicate that distressing experiences of harassment may, even in the absence of other sexual trauma or unwanted physical contact, lead to increased dissociative tendencies. Women and men may be using dissociation as a coping mechanism for mistreatment. As predicted by betrayal trauma theory (Freyd, 1996) and institutional betrayal (Smith and Freyd, 2014), this coping mechanism may allow harassed individuals to maintain relationships. When further interpreted in the context of research suggesting that confronting a harasser often leads to negative outcomes for the victim (Hesson-Mcinnis and Fitzgerald, 1997; Stockdale, 1998) and reporting harassment may lead to worsened outcomes (Bergman *et al.*, 2002), it is understandable that women and men may engage in dissociation. This coping mechanism, while useful in the short-term, may have long-term consequences. Dissociation may produce cognitive and executive functioning deficits that may prevent people from fully engaging with their lives and put them at risk for revictimization (Stockdale *et al.*, 2014).

Results also indicated that sex-based harassment was related to increased sexual dissociation and decreased sexual communication. Sex-based harassment may be having a silencing effect on victims, not only in the public domain in which it occurs, but also in their personal lives. These high levels of sexual dissociation, and low levels of sexual communication, may subsequently decrease sexual and relationship satisfaction among those experiencing high levels of sexual harassment. Sexual dissociation and communication deficits may then put individuals at risk for revictimization or unsafe sex practices (Zurbriggen and Freyd, 2004).

Although sex-based harassment was our primary predictor of interest, we found an unexpected interaction between gender and prior sexual trauma on dissociation, such that men with higher levels of sexual trauma reported a marginal increase in dissociation, and women reported a marginal decrease in dissociation. This finding stands in contrast to prior research indicating that women are at a greater risk for exhibiting posttraumatic stress symptoms after trauma (Tolin and Foa, 2008). A more likely explanation for this finding could be a result of the low number of men and women obtaining the highest sexual trauma scores, as well as the potential multicollinearity between the prior sexual trauma, sexual harassment, and interaction predictors.

Although the results of the present study have societal implications, there are also limitations to the study that must be considered. First, we must be cautious when interpreting the relationships found in this study, as the data are cross-sectional in nature. Because of this, no causal claims can be garnered from this study alone. Consistent with prior research in the field of trauma, it is likely that sex-based harassment contributes to coping mechanisms that subsequent become challenging psychological consequences, such as the development of dissociative tendencies. However, it is theoretically possible that these psychological outcomes may precede any sex-based harassment, or that a third variable may account for this relationship.

Another limitation of this study is the lack of diversity in the sample. Due to the original study design, we sampled only young adult residents of the state of Oregon, the majority of whom were White and whose experiences of sex-based harassment may not be representative of the national population. This may be particularly problematic given that experiences of harassment may vary or intensify, depending on race/ethnicity (Buchanan and Fitzgerald, 2008) and sexuality (Rabelo and Cortina, 2014). In addition, although this study found significant gender differences in sex-based harassment between men and women, it is possible that our measure of sex-based harassment may not have adequately assess the forms of sex-based harassment that men are more likely to experience.

Implications and future directions

Results of this research have implications for organizational leaders, policymakers and clinicians. In order to create a healthy and equitable organizational climate, leaders should implement clear and enforceable policies preventing sex-based harassment. Clinicians working with women and men experiencing sex-based harassment should assess for dissociative symptoms – a hallmark of trauma – as well as sexual difficulties. It is vital that others understand that the harmful effects of even "mild" sex-based harassment can bleed into both the professional and personal lives of the harassed.

Future research should expand upon these findings by looking longitudinally at experiences of sex-based harassment and subsequent psychological outcomes in a more diverse sample, enabling researchers to establish causal relationships between sex-based harassment and psychological outcomes. Future research should also explore how the location/environment in which the harassment occurred, such as at work (Fitzgerald, 1993), on the street (Davidson *et al.*, 2016; Mellgren *et al.*, 2017), at home (Reed *et al.*, 2005) or on the internet (Barak, 2005), influences psychological outcomes. In light of research findings indicating that the impact of harassment depends on the power of the perpetrator (Huerta *et al.*, 2006), future research should also investigate how a person's relationship with the harasser (stranger vs trusted other) may influence posttraumatic outcomes.

Conclusion

This study provides further evidence for the negative – and often traumatic – outcomes associated with sex-based harassment for both men and women. Results from this study suggest that sex-based harassment may be having a profound influence on victims, and even mild harassment should be acknowledged as a serious issue with potential psychological consequences. In line with theories of betrayal trauma and institutional betrayal, harassed individuals may be engaging in greater dissociation to cope with mistreatment. Despite its limitations, we hope this research will validate of the subjective experiences of those who have been harassed, inform only clinical work and inspire change at both institutional and societal levels.

References

- Adams-Clark, A.A., Rosenthal, M.N. and Freyd, J.J. (in preparation), "Viewing cheerleading and rape myth endorsement".
- Barak, A. (2005), "Sexual harassment on the internet", Social Science Computer Review, Vol. 23 No. 1, pp. 77-92, doi: 10.1177/0894439304271540.
- Bergman, M.E., Langhout, R.D., Palmieri, P.A., Cortina, L.M. and Fitzgerald, L.F. (2002), "The (un) reasonableness of reporting: antecedents and consequences of reporting sexual harassment", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 87 No. 2, pp. 230-242, doi: 10.1037//0021-9010.87.2.230.
- Bremner, J.D. and Brett, E. (1997), "Trauma-related dissociative states and long-term psychopathology in posttraumatic stress disorder", *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, Vol. 10 No. 1, pp. 37-49, doi: 10.1023/A:1024804312978.
- Buchanan, N.T. and Fitzgerald, L.F. (2008), "Effects of racial and sexual harassment on work and the psychological well-being of African American women", *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, Vol. 13 No. 2, pp. 137-151, doi: 10.1037/1076-8998.13.2.137.
- Buhrmester, M., Kwang, T. and Gosling, S.D. (2011), "Amazon's mechanical turk: a new source of inexpensive, yet high-quality, data?", *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, Vol. 6 No. 2, pp. 3-5, doi: 10.1177/1745691610393980.
- Chu, J.A. and Dill, D.L. (1990), "Dissociative symptoms in relation to childhood physical and sexual abuse", American Journal of Psychiatry, Vol. 147 No. 7, pp. 887-892, doi: 10.1176/ajp.147.7.887.
- Cook, S.L., Cortina, L.M. and Koss, M.P. (2018), "What's the difference between sexual abuse, sexual assault, sexual harassment and rape?", *The Conversation*, available at: https://theconversation.com/whats-the-difference-between-sexual-abuse-sexual-assault-sexual-harassment-and-rape-88218 (accessed October 1, 2018).
- Cortina, L.M., Swan, S., Fitzgerald, L.F. and Waldo, C. (1998), "Sexual harassment and assault: chilling the climate for women in academia", *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, Vol. 22 No. 3, pp. 419-441, doi: 10.1111/j.1471-6402.1998.tb00166.x.
- Davidson, M.M., Butchko, M.S., Robbins, K., Sherd, L.W. and Gervais, S.J. (2016), "The mediating role of perceived safety on street harassment and anxiety", *Psychology of Violence*, Vol. 6 No. 4, pp. 553-561, doi: 10.1037/a0039970.
- DePrince, A.P. (2001), "Trauma and posttraumatic responses: an examination of fear and betrayal", unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR.
- DePrince, A.P. and Freyd, J.J. (2007), "Trauma-induced dissociation", in Friedman, M.J., Keane, T.M. and Resick, P.A. (Eds), Handbook of PTSD: Science & Practice, Guilford Press, New York, NY, pp. 135-150.
- Fitzgerald, L.F. (1993), "Sexual harassment: violence against women in the workplace", American Psychologist, Vol. 48 No. 10, pp. 1070-1076, doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.48.10.1070.
- Fitzgerald, L.F. (2017), "Still the last great open secret: sexual harassment as systemic trauma", *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*, Vol. 18 No. 4, pp. 483-489, doi: 10.1080/15299732.2017.1309143.
- Fitzgerald, L.F., Drasgow, F., Hulin, C.L., Gelfand, M.J. and Magley, V.J. (1997), "Antecedents and consequences of sexual harassment in organizations: a test of an integrated model", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 82 No. 4, pp. 578-589, doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.82.4.578.
- Fitzgerald, L.F., Shullman, S.L., Bailey, N., Richards, M., Swecker, J., Gold, Y., Ormerod, M. and Weitzman, L. (1988), "The incidence and dimensions of sexual harassment in academia and the workplace", *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, Vol. 32 No. 2, pp. 152-175, doi: 10.1016/0001-8791(88)90012-7.
- Freyd, J.J. (1994), "Betrayal trauma: traumatic amnesia as an adaptive response to childhood abuse", Ethics & Behavior, Vol. 4 No. 4, pp. 307-329, doi: 10.1207/s15327019eb0404_1.
- Freyd, J.J. (1996), Betrayal Trauma: The Logic of Forgetting Childhood Abuse, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Freyd, J.J. and Birrell, P.J. (2013), Blind to Betrayal, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ.

- Freyd, J.J., Klest, B. and Allard, C.B. (2005), "Betrayal trauma: relationship to physical health, psychological distress, and a written disclosure intervention", *Journal of Trauma Dissociation*, Vol. 6 No. 3, pp. 83-104, doi: 10.1300/J229v06n03_04.
- George, D. and Mallery, P. (2010), SPSS for Windows Step by Step: A Simple Guide and Reference 17.0 Update, Pearson, Boston, MA.
- Goldberg, L.R. and Freyd, J.J. (2006), "Self-reports of potentially traumatic experiences in an adult community sample: gender differences and test-retest stabilities of the items in a brief betrayal-trauma survey", Journal of Trauma & Dissociation, Vol. 7 No. 3, pp. 39-63, doi: 10.1300/J229v07n03_04.
- Goldsmith, R.E., Freyd, J.J. and DePrince, A.P. (2012), "Betrayal trauma: associations with psychological and physical symptoms in young adults", *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, Vol. 27 No. 3, pp. 547-567, doi: 10.1177/0886260511421672.
- Hansen, N.B., Brown, L.J., Tsatkin, E., Zelgowski, B. and Nightingale, V. (2012), "Dissociative experiences during sexual behavior among a sample of adults living with HIV infection and a history of childhood sexual abuse", *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*, Vol. 13 No. 3, pp. 345-360, doi: 10.1080/15299732.2011.641710.
- Harned, M.S. (2000), "Harassed bodies: an examination of the relationships among women's experiences of sexual harassment, body image, and eating disturbances", *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, Vol. 24 No. 4, pp. 336-348, doi: 10.1111/j.1471-6402.2000.tb00216.x.
- Hesson-Mcinnis, M.S. and Fitzgerald, L.F. (1997), "Sexual harassment: a preliminary test of an integrative model", *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, Vol. 27 No. 10, pp. 877-901, doi: 10.1111/j.1559-1816.1997.tb00276.x.
- Holland, K.J., Rabelo, V.C., Gustafson, A.M., Seabrook, R.C. and Cortina, L.M. (2016), "Sexual harassment against men: examining the roles of feminist activism, sexuality, and organizational context", Psychology of Men & Masculinity, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 17-29, doi: 10.1037/a0039151.
- Huerta, M., Cortina, L.M., Pang, J.S., Torges, C.M. and Magley, V.J. (2006), "Sex and power in the academy: modeling sexual harassment in the lives of college women", *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, Vol. 32 No. 5, pp. 616-628, doi: 10.1177/0146167205284281.
- Ilies, R., Hauserman, N., Schwochau, S. and Stibal, J. (2003), "Reported incidence rates of work-related sexual harassment in the United States: using meta-analysis to explain reported rate disparities", Personnel Psychology, Vol. 56 No. 3, pp. 607-631, doi: 10.1111/j.1744-6570.2003.tb00752.x.
- Kennedy, F., Clarke, S., Stopa, L., Bell, L., Rouse, H., Ainsworth, C., Fearon, P. and Waller, G. (2004), "Towards a cognitive model and measure of dissociation", *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, Vol. 35 No. 1, pp. 25-48, doi: 10.1016/j.jbtep.2004.01.002.
- Khomami, N. (2018), "#MeToo: how a hashtag became a rallying cry against sexual harassment", The Guardian, October 20, available at: www.theguardian.com/world/2017/oct/20/womenworldwide-use-hashtag-metoo-against-sexual-harassment (accessed October 25, 2018).
- Langhout, R.D., Bergman, M.E., Cortina, L.M., Fitzgerald, L.F., Drasgow, F. and Williams, J.H. (2005), "Sexual harassment severity: assessing situational and personal determinants and outcomes", *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, Vol. 35 No. 5, pp. 975-1007, doi: 10.1111/j.1559-1816.2005.tb02156.x.
- Lensvelt-Mulders, G., van Der Hart, O., van Ochten, J.M., van Son, M.J., Steele, K. and Breeman, L. (2008), "Relations among peritraumatic dissociation and posttraumatic stress: a meta-analysis", Clinical Psychology Review, Vol. 28 No. 7, pp. 1138-1151, doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2008.03.006.
- Leskinen, E.A., Cortina, L.M. and Kabat, D.B. (2011), "Gender harassment: broadening our understanding of sex-based harassment at work", Law and Human Behavior, Vol. 35 No. 1, pp. 25-39, doi: 10.1007/s10979-010-9241-5.
- McDermut, J.F., Haaga, D.A. and Kirk, L. (2000), "An evaluation of stress symptoms associated with academic sexual harassment", *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, Vol. 13 No. 3, pp. 397-411, doi: 10.1023/A:1007725022534.
- Mellgren, C., Andersson, M. and Ivert, A.K. (2017), "It happens all the time': women's experiences and normalization of sexual harassment in public space", Women & Criminal Justice, Vol. 28 No. 4, pp. 1-20, doi: 10.1080/08974454.2017.1372328.

- Miner-Rubino, K. and Cortina, L.M. (2007), "Beyond targets: consequences of vicarious exposure to misogyny at work", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 92 No. 5, pp. 1254-1269, doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.92.5.1254.
- Mueller-Pfeiffer, C., Moergeli, H., Schumacher, S., Martin-Soelch, C., Wirtz, G., Fuhrhans, C., Hindermann, E. and Rufer, M. (2013), "Characteristics of child maltreatment and their relation to dissociation, posttraumatic stress symptoms, and depression in adult psychiatric patients", *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, Vol. 201 No. 6, pp. 471-477, doi: 10.1097/NMD.0b013e3182948096.
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (2018), Sexual Harassment of Women: Climate, Culture, and Consequences in Academic Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, National Academies Press, Washington, DC.
- O'Driscoll, C. and Flanagan, E. (2016), "Sexual problems and post-traumatic stress disorder following sexual trauma: a meta-analytic review", Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice, Vol. 89 No. 3, pp. 351-367, doi: 10.1111/papt.12077.
- Palmieri, P.A. and Fitzgerald, L.F. (2005), "Confirmatory factor analysis of posttraumatic stress symptoms in sexually harassed women", *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, Vol. 18 No. 6, pp. 657-666, doi: 10.1002/jts.20074.
- Polusny, M.A., Dickinson, K.A., Murdoch, M. and Thuras, P. (2008), "The role of cumulative sexual trauma and difficulties identifying feelings in understanding female veterans' physical health outcomes", *General Hospital Psychiatry*, Vol. 30 No. 2, pp. 162-170, doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2007.11.006.
- R Core Team (2018), R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, available at: www.R-project.org/
- Rabelo, V.C. and Cortina, L.M. (2014), "Two sides of the same coin: gender harassment and heterosexist harassment in LGBQ work lives", Law and Human Behavior, Vol. 38 No. 4, pp. 378-391, doi: 10.1037/lhb0000087.
- Reed, M.E., Collinsworth, L.L. and Fitzgerald, L.F. (2005), "There's no place like home: sexual harassment of low income women in housing", *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, Vol. 11 No. 3, pp. 439-462, doi: 10.1037/1076-8971.11.3.439.
- Reed, M.E., Collinsworth, L.L., Lawson, A.K. and Fitzgerald, L.F. (2016), "The psychological impact of previous victimization: examining the 'abuse defense' in a sample of harassment litigants", *Psychological Injury and Law*, Vol. 9 No. 3, pp. 230-240, doi: 10.1007/s12207-016-9267-1.
- Rosenthal, M.N. and Freyd, J.J. (2017), "Silenced by betrayal: the path from childhood trauma to diminished sexual communication in adulthood", *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment Trauma*, Vol. 26 No. 1, pp. 3-17, doi: 10.1080/10926771.2016.1175533.
- Rosenthal, M.N., Smidt, A.M. and Freyd, J.J. (2016), "Still second class: sexual harassment of graduate students", Psychology of Women Quarterly, Vol. 40 No. 3, pp. 364-377, doi: 10.1177/0361684316644838.
- Rospenda, K.M., Fujishiro, K., Shannon, C.A. and Richman, J.A. (2008), "Workplace harassment, stress, and drinking behavior over time: gender differences in a national sample", *Addictive Behaviors*, Vol. 33 No. 7, pp. 7964-7967, doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2008.02.009.
- Schneider, K.T., Swan, S. and Fitzgerald, L.F. (1997), "Job-related and psychological effects of sexual harassment in the workplace: empirical evidence from two organizations", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 82 No. 3, pp. 401-415, doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.82.3.401.
- Smith, C.P. and Freyd, J.J. (2014), "Institutional betrayal", American Psychologist, Vol. 69 No. 6, pp. 575-587, doi: 10.1037/a0037564.
- Smith, C.P. and Freyd, J.J. (2017), "Insult, then injury: interpersonal and institutional betrayal linked to health and dissociation", *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*, Vol. 26 No. 10, pp. 1117-1131, doi: 10.1080/10926771.2017.1322654.
- Sojo, V.E., Wood, R.E. and Genat, A.E. (2016), "Harmful workplace experiences and women's occupational well-being: a meta-analysis", *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, Vol. 40 No. 1, pp. 10-40, doi: 10.1177/0361684315599346.

- Stark, S., Chernyshenko, O.S., Lancaster, A.R., Drasgow, F. and Fitzgerald, L.F. (2002), "Toward standardized measurement of sexual harassment: shortening the SEQ-DoD using item response theory", *Military Psychology*, Vol. 14 No. 1, pp. 49-72, doi: 10.1207/S15327876MP1401_03.
- Stephenson, K.R., Hughan, C.P. and Meston, C.M. (2012), "Childhood sexual abuse moderates the association between sexual functioning and sexual distress in women", Child Abuse & Neglect, Vol. 36 No. 2, pp. 180-189, doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2011.09.015.
- Stockdale, M.S. (1998), "The direct and moderating influences of sexual-harassment pervasiveness, coping strategies, and gender on work-related outcomes", Psychology of Women Quarterly, Vol. 22 No. 4, pp. 521-535, doi: 10.1111/j.1471-6402.1998.tb00175.x.
- Stockdale, M.S., Logan, T.K. and Weston, R. (2009), "Sexual harassment and posttraumatic stress disorder: damages beyond prior abuse", *Law and Human Behavior*, Vol. 33 No. 5, pp. 405-418, doi: 10.1007/s10979-008-9162-8.
- Stockdale, M.S., Logan, T.K., Sliter, K.A. and Berry, S.A. (2014), "Interpersonal violence victimization and sexual harassment: a prospective study of revictimization", Sex Roles, Vol. 71 Nos 1-2, pp. 55-70, doi: 10.1007/s11199-014-0377-5.
- Street, A.E., Gradus, J.L., Stafford, J. and Kelly, K. (2007), "Gender differences in experiences of sexual harassment: data from a male-dominated environment", *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, Vol. 75 No. 418, pp. 464-474, doi: 10.1037/0022-006X.75.3.464.
- Tolin, D.F. and Foa, E.B. (2008), "Sex differences in trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder: a quantitative review of 25 years of research", Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy, Vol. S No. 1, pp. 37-85, doi: 7/1942-9681.S.1.37.
- Waldo, C.R., Berdahl, J.L. and Fitzgerald, L.F. (1998), "Are men sexually harassed? If so, by whom?", Law and Human Behavior, Vol. 22 No. 1, pp. 59-79, doi: 10.1023/A:1025776705629.
- Wickham, H. (2017), "tidyverse: easily install and load the 'tidyverse'", R package version 1.2.1, available at: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=tidyverse (accessed February 1, 2019).
- Yuan, J. (2017), "L.A. writer says Richard Dreyfuss sexually harassed and exposed himself to her in the 1980s", November 10, available at: www.vulture.com/2017/11/richard-dreyfuss-accused-of-exposing-himself-to-woman.html (accessed October 1, 2018).
- Zurbriggen, E.L. and Freyd, J.J. (2004), "The link between childhood sexual abuse and risky sexual behavior: the role of dissociative tendencies, information-processing effects, and consensual sex decision mechanisms", in Koenig, L.J., Doll, L.S., O'Leary, A. and Pequegnat, W. (Eds), Child Sexual Abuse to Adult Sexual Risk: Trauma, Revictimization, and Intervention, American Psychological Association, Washington, DC, pp. 135-158.

Corresponding author

Alexis A. Adams-Clark can be contacted at: aadamscl@uoregon.edu